

NO ON 118

HIGHER TAXES
More Bureaucracy

A Lavish, New Program Destined for Bankruptcy

No On Prop 118: Paid Family Medical Leave

- **The Cost**
 - A \$1.3B tax increase split between employers and employees. Based on the number of Coloradans using the richest program in the nation, the cost will increase.
- **Funding**
 - To fund the program, employers and employees pay a tax in the form of a paycheck deduction of 0.9%. Employers and employees split the cost of the tax 50/50. That deduction will grow to 1.2% at the discretion of the **political appointee** that will head of the new Family and Medical Leave Department. (As an example, an employee making \$75,000 per year would have \$675 a year placed into the fund. That tax will grow to \$900 per year...**Regardless of whether the benefit is used or not.**)
- **The Benefits Package**
 - The measure offers up to 12 weeks of leave to care for a person who is related by blood, marriage, domestic partnership, civil union, adoption or **any individual with whom the employee has a significant personal bond.**
 - An additional 4 weeks (for a total of 16 weeks) are covered for complications of pregnancy or childbirth
 - Leave does not have to be on consecutive days.
- **Exemptions**
 - All employees must participate whether they use the benefits or not.
 - Exemptions include local governments – cities, counties, school districts, special districts and other local government entities.
 - Exemptions include the employer portion for businesses under 10 employees.
 - Businesses that offer the **exact same benefit package** described in the initiative can apply for an exemption.
- **Administration**
 - The measure creates a new department within the Colorado Department of Labor to administer the program. **The new department is expected to grow to 200 employees** in the first few years of the program.

Impacts to Colorado Economy:

At a time when our economy has suffered an unprecedented blow in the form of COVID-19, unemployment is skyrocketing and so many Coloradans are struggling, a new tax that represents a 20% increase on income tax will be devastating to both individuals and small businesses.

Further, [several studies](#) have questioned the solvency of this new program based on the low utilization rates that were modeled. If the program becomes insolvent, **state lawmakers will be forced to fund the program from general fund dollars and that equates to cuts in education, transportation and other state needs.**

NO ON **118**

HIGHER TAXES
More Bureaucracy

A Lavish, New Program Destined for Bankruptcy

www.VoteNoOn118.com